Insights into the origin of the Lachlan Orogen with applications for exploration

Sebastien Meffre, Chris Leslie, Tristan Wells, Umer Habib, Thomas Schaap



Why investigate the tectonics of SE Australia?

- Make predictions about prospectivity
- Scientific understanding of
 - Local environment
 - Global tectonic processes
 - Tectonic evolution of the Earth



The Big Picture: Early Paleozoic Gondwana



The Big Picture: Early Paleozoic Gondwana



Our understanding of the really big picture has not changed much in 40 years

• 1971 Lachlan Orogen tectonic reconstruction very similar to that from 2019



Major events in the history of SE Australia

- Neoproterozoic rifts 585-520 Ma
- Cambrian subduction 520-510 Ma
- Cambrian orogenesis 510-490 Ma
- Ordovician subduction 490-445 Ma
- Early Silurian Orogenesis 445-440 Ma
- Silurian-Early Devonian rifts 440-415 Ma
- Devonian orogenesis 415-360 Ma



The Lachlan Orocline

 A great hypothesis but it's a model and it must not get in the way of the facts



Important constraints on the Lachlan Orogen

- At least 2 subduction zones operated between 516-495 Ma
- Tasmania docked between 510-490 Ma
- Tasmania 🗲 central Victoria
- No subduction zone between NW and central Tasmania
- Both the Lachlan and the Dundas Fossey orocline models need fine tuning



Two subduction zones in the Cambrian

- The Macquarie arc is built on Cambrian island arc crust
- Stavely Arc-Mt Wright Arc is on continental crust



Two subduction zones in the Cambrian

- Is this surprising?:
 - Subduction zones are complicated



Cambrian arcs

- NSW
- Central Vic
- West Vic
- West Tas
- North Victoria Land
- New Zealand



Two subduction zones in the Cambrian

- One continental
- The other intraoceanic



The Macquarie arc is built on Cambrian island arc crust

New results: U-Pb zircon diorite from Barmedman



The Macquarie arc is built on Cambrian island arc crust



New Cambrian intrusive Marsden Monzodiorite





2019/02:13 HV spot HPW W0 frame 50 µm 12:49:06 10:00 kV 6:0 211 µm 13:6 mm 19:2 s CSL UTas - MLA650





Two subduction zones in the Cambrian

Applications for explorers: Prospectivity of the Stavely Arc ≠ west Tasmania At 500 Ma

East-dipping Meffre et al. 2000

East and west dipping Cayley 2011 West-dipping Cayley et al. 2018



Tasmania docked between 510-490 Ma

Different models have different docking times



Tasmania docked between 510-490 Ma

- Applications for Explorers:
 - Late collision could be the cause of the gold in Bendigo and the NSW Porphyries



Tasmanian Early Paleozoic

- Major change in zircon provenance
- In Early to Middle Ordovician



Queenstown stratigraphy

Tasmania ≠ central Victoria Earliest Ordovician Waratah Bay Victoria • NOT correlate for Owen conglomerate

• Not a sourced from Tasmanian zircons



Comparison of sedimentary rocks

 Detrital zircons and sedimentary rock chemistry highlight key differences between Tasmania and Victoria



Evidence against a dirrect western Tasmania correlation with the Melbourne zone



Strathbogie Granite Central Victoria













4.1 Geodynamic synthesis and implications for the geological evolution of STAVELY

R. Cayley, D. Taylor, P. Skladzien, C. Cairns, R. Duncan, D. Huston, A. Schofield and C. Lewis

Geoscience Australia Record 2018/02

This is wrong for Tasmania!

lssues with the Lachlan Orocline

Fails to explain

Basement

Precambrian

Trench

advance

3

а

- Changes Macquarie Arc chemistry \bullet
- Major Benambran unconformity \bullet



500

What happened during the Benambran?





What happened during the Benambran?

Seamount or microcontinent collision ?



What about the **The Dundas Fossey Orocline**?













The Dundas Fossey Orocline

- Geometrically implausible as drawn by Ross
- But interesting paleopoles in Ordovician sedimentary rocks



• To try and put these new data in model

in progress from University of Tasmania PhD student Thomas Schaap

































What happened during the Benambran?









Application for Explorers

- Tom's PhD project aim is to use G-Plates to rotate geochemical data back in time
 - to investigate how porphyry fertility indicators are distributed in the various reconstructions







Conclusion

- Current tectonic reconstruction need significant improvement and refinement
- detailed prediction can be used about ore forming processes
- New development in models and software



Thanks to ARC Linkage project "Ore deposits and tectonics of SE Australia" partners:

