



#### Geoscience Australia and Australia's Exploration Challenge

Dr James Johnson

**Deputy CEO** 

Chief – Resources Division





Mines & Wines 2015

# BUILDING AUSTRALIA'S RESOURCE WEALTH

#### New discovery requires new exploration



Percentage of total spend

Source: MinEx Consulting estimates June 2015

#### **Despite exploration increase, discoveries not followed**



#### Australia's undercover mineral potential



#### **Government response**



#### **VISION:**

Unlocking Australia's hidden resource potential.

#### MISSION:

To address greenfield exploration challenges, stimulate new discoveries, ensure continuity of the pipeline of mineral resource investments, and the longevity of Australia's mineral resources industry.

#### SCOPE OF THE STRATEGY

This National Mineral Exploration Strategy focuses on the acquisition and delivery of pre-competitive geoscience, applied geoscience research initiatives to assist exploring undercover and a mineral exploration investment attraction plan. Supporting activities associated with the strategy aimed at cross-jurisdictional collaboration on regulatory reform are also underway. The strategy will not address the financial challenges facing the minerals sector.





#### THE THREE ELEMENTS OF THE NATIONAL MINERAL EXPLORATION STRATEGY ARE:



#### ....and the Exploration Development Incentive (EDI)

# **Continuing to build on national maps**



Precompetitive data program with collaborative projects with States/NT geological surveys:

- airborne magnetic-radiometric
- gravity
- AEM
- Seismic/MT transects
- AusLAMP
- Regional drilling (Thomson)
- Geochronology and stratigraphy

Greenfields focus of combined Gov efforts

#### Australia's exploration challenge and the opportunity

- Continent is ~80% covered
- How to more effectivity and efficiently explore through cover?
- Need to be <u>predictive</u> take an integrated systems view ....



## Data-driven or empirical approach: Cu



- Density plots analysis of known occurrences
  - Identifies historic mineral provinces
- Most anomalously high distributions have 1 or more Tier 1 deposits (dots)
  - Some exceptions
- Results strongly driven by surface prospecting
- Substantial potential undercover extensions and greenfields areas

Jacques et al., 2001: AGSO Research Newsletter 34.

## Mineral Systems: a powerful method of prediction



A mineral system is defined as <u>ALL</u> the geological components that control the generation and preservation of mineral deposits Wyborn et al., (1994)





#### **Tectonic framework for mineral systems & ore genesis**

Hundreds of km



## Data-driven or empirical approach: Ni

Significant deposits



- Density plots analysis of known occurrences
  - Identifies historic mineral provinces
- Most anomalously high distributions have 1 or more Tier 1 deposits (dots)
  - Some exceptions
- Results strongly driven by surface
  prospecting
- Substantial potential undercover extensions and greenfields areas

Jacques et al., 2001: AGSO Research Newsletter 34.



# Magmatic Ni-PGE potential of Australia

Each of 4 components combines geophysical, geological, geochronological, geochemical data

From: Dulfer, Skirrow, Champion, Czarnota et al. (in prep.)



Mines & Wines 2015



# Magmatic Ni-PGE potential of Australia:

- The first national assessment of its type
- Targeting Norils'k (\$1t) and Voisey's Bay type deposits
- Predicts locations of major known deposits/districts
- Highlights many other areas for follow-up by industry (Victoria?)
- Predicts potential under cover

#### **PREDICT new greenfields provinces**

#### National-scale: Ni-PGE potential

4015 Dulfer et al., in prep 14019 150'5 18016

Regional-scale: Cu-Au potential



## **Geochemical points to surface predictions: data mining**

#### Regional regolith geochemistry program of GSWA – (Paul Morris)



- Extensive soil geochemistry databases in state/NT survey (eg. Leinster WA)
- How do you predict what is between samples and in regions distant from the grid?

## Geochemical points to surface predictions: data mining



- Chromium points on radiometrics grid
- uses radiometrics, DEM, geology, vegetation, ASTER, magnetics, gravity etc
- Cubist method uses open source R codes
- not interpolation between points but a model prediction based on environmental correlation

# Geochemical points to surface predictions: data mining

800

Geochemical sample locations coloured on Cr concentration Mafic outcrop = white polygons



- the method has generated a model prediction of Cr in the landscape
- The colours on the map are scaled the same as the Cr points
- out-of-sample cross validation  $R^2 = 0.71$
- method can be applied to any point data relationship with other covariates (e.g. cover thickness estimates)

#### Mapping cover thickness: the science problem

- One person's cover is another person's basement
- Cover is just geology in 3D space plus time
- 'Cover' estimates difference map between GA and/or State maps and SeeBase as reference
- Lets go back to basics and deal with points



GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA Commonweal (Geoscience Au

#### Points to surfaces: predictions through data mining

Surface geol.



Topo. relief



Valley flatness index



Weathering intensity



**RTP TMI** 



Filtered tilt est.



**Bouguer gravity** 



Cenozoic geology



**Distance from outcrop** 





#### Points to surfaces: predictions through data mining





#### Conclusions

- Australia has excellent opportunities in the vast greenfield under cover regions
- We have prospective ground, good data, skilled & connected people
- Mineral exploration is a risk-based decision-making process of successive area and volume reduction
- To lower risk we need ways of working that fully utilise the data in a more predictive way
- We can do this with:
  - mineral systems science and its application to targeting science (eg Ni, Cu-Au)
  - data mining methods that integrate multidisciplinary datasets
  - methods that quantify uncertainty and preserve fundamental data